

## **Notes on the transcription of London Metropolitan Archives Document:**

CLA 047 LR 02 04 028

1723

The document is on fragile paper sheets, foolscap size, sewn into booklets. There are lists which contain personal details and summary alphabetic indexes of names only.

The lists are not complete.

The following seem to have both lists & indexes:

26<sup>th</sup> August

10<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup> September

24<sup>th</sup> & 25<sup>th</sup> October

8<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup> November

Possibly incomplete lists:

29<sup>th</sup> November

Index & list of queries only

11<sup>th</sup>, 13<sup>th</sup>, 17<sup>th</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup> December

I have transcribed the detailed lists only. This is about 6500 names of adults over the age of 18 years in the City of London in 1723, with names, occupation parish and/or street. Wives are noted and sometimes adult children.

I have put the information into columns, but have aimed to keep the original spellings and abbreviations, except widow, spinster and London. Many place names show the change in vowel use at this time: Fenchurch street was Fanchurch street. In spite of resistance from the spell checker on the computer I have stuck with the spelling FEILD in places where I noted its use.

Some pages are torn and some entries are very hard to makes sense of. These I have printed in red [*now shown in square brackets*\*]. I have indicated that some names were crossed out; some were repeated, but I have attempted to read all of them.

I have checked & rechecked, but do not guarantee that there are no errors.

I have added a 'Rank' number so that the whole document can be sorted by any column and still be returned to the order in which the names appear in the original document [*Quaker entries are preceded by "Q"\**]

### **Quakers**

I have sent the lists of Quakers to the Quaker Family History Society. The Quakers are in the same document but identified clearly on separate pages. Quakers were happy to express 'loyalty' to the Crown & Government, but not to swear oaths. They were permitted to 'affirm'.

### **Papists & Non Jurors**

I am working with the Catholic Family History Society & the Catholic Record Society on these lists which are in CLA 047 LR 02 04 059.

Sylvia J Dibbs

Spring 2008 [*\*Updated in 2026*]